From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Subject: Re: Application Reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 15 September 2026 08:48:28

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important

I am resending my objection to this planning application as I have not had confirmation that you had received it.

Many thanks
[redacted]

On 13 Sep 2026, at 09:51, [redacted] wrote:

I strongly object to this planning proposal and I would like to express my main concern.

I moved to [redacted] with my [redacted] Our [redacted] was [redacted] moved in. We have enjoyed the peace and tranquillity of our garden and [redacted] We have been able to walk safely down [redacted] and across the fields with our daughters and more recently [redacted] These walks have regularly been alongside the boundary fence of the MOD Site A, along [redacted] Our [redacted] particularly enjoyed using the [redacted] located next to the boundary.

The Planning Application describes the use class as C2A - Secure Residential Institutions. This is the class used for detention centres and prisons. Yet, we have found that the site will not be secure. The Home Office fact sheet describes the site as "non-detained" and that migrants will be "free to come and go." I fear that this would allow them to wander freely day and night.

The planning proposal does not contain any details of how the Home Office plans to ensure public safety and security.

The government's fact sheet providing guidance on the MOD Bicester Site A (updated 9th September 2026) Para7 *"How will the Home Office ensure public safety and security?"* demonstrates that no additional thought or

consideration has been given to this subject in respect of this site. The paragraphs are exact copies of the text used in both fact sheets for Wethersfield and Crowborough sites.

I am being asked to accept that everything will be fine, there will be no safety or security issues and that the police will be able to protect the village. And yet:

1. The police were not consulted on these plans.
2. The wives and children of migrants held in this site will be housed elsewhere because the Home Office deems it to be unsafe for them to be housed on site.
3. The Home Secretary has stated that sites of this type should not be located close to schools.
4. The Bicester Garrison Commander has written to the Garrison's families saying that "they will be protected".
5. The Home Office decided it was necessary to publish a booklet on 19th August 2026 "Understanding behaviours and expectations in the UK: a guide for asylum seekers" including sections on *"respect in public"* and *"sex and consent."*

If this proposal proceeds, I feel that it will no longer be possible for me, [redacted] in full view of the anyone walking along the road.

Please reject this application to protect the safety of the men, women and children of Piddington.

[redacted]

13th September 2026

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and advise me of progress in this matter.